# **A search for novel genes for juvenile myoclonic epilepsy**

**A submission for the partial fulfillment of MS of the Integrated-PhD programme**

**By**

**Pooja Barak**



**Molecular biology and Genetics Unit Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research (A Deemed University) Jakkur, Bangalore 560 064, India April 2014**

### **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that this thesis titled "**A search for novel genes for juvenile myoclonic epilepsy**" is an authentic record of research work carried out by me under the guidance of Prof. Anuranjan Anand in Molecular Biology and Genetics Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore. This work has not been submitted in another place for the award of any other degree.

In keeping the norm of reporting scientific observations, due acknowledgements have been made wherever the work described here has been based on the findings of other investigators. Any omission, which might have occurred by oversight or misjudgment, is apologized for.

Pooja Barak

Place: JNCASR, Bangalore

Date:

### **CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the work described in this thesis entitled "**A search for novel genes for juvenile myoclonic epilepsy**" is the result of the investigations carried out by Ms. Pooja Barak in the Molecular Biology and Genetics Unit, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore, under my guidance.

The results presented in this thesis have not previously formed the basis for the award of any other diploma, degree or fellowship.

Anuranjan Anand

Place: JNCASR, Bangalore

Date:

### **Acknowledgements**

I take this opportunity to express my special appreciation and thanks to my advisor Prof. Anuranjan Anand for providing me an opportunity to work with him and for being a tremendous mentor. I would like to thank him for encouraging my research and for allowing me to grow as a research student. His advice on both research as well as on my career have been priceless.

I would like to thank the faculty members, Professors Tapas Kundu, Kaustav Sanyal, Namita Surolia, Ranga Uday Kumar, Hemalatha Balram, Maneesha Inamdar, Ravi Manjithaya, Jayanta Haldar, G Ramesh, Balasubramanian, Shivaprasad, Shobhana Narsimha, Amitabh Joshi, Chandrabhas Narayan, Umesh Wahgmare, Ms. Nabonita Guha for very exciting course work which helped me get my basics strong before I could embark on journey of research work.

I wish to thank the members of Human Molecular Genetics laboratory: Praveen, Manpreet, Kalpita, Shalini, Shveta, Somya, Sourav, Rammurthy, Mohan, Dr. Vikas and Chandrashkhara for making my stay in the lab pleasant and in helping troubleshoot the problems that I encountered in my work.

Special thanks goes to my friends Anubha, Pratik, Mariyam, Kirthana and Sutanuka for helping me stay positive and focused by making me forget all my problems and for standing by my side through thick and thin.

I acknowledge the support from library, academic section, administration, computer lab, security, chandraya, hostel and mess. I would like to thank JNCASR for the fellowship.

Above all, I would like to thank my family. Words cannot express how grateful I am to my parents for all the love and support that they have always provided me.

## **Abbreviations**





## **Contents**

![](_page_6_Picture_174.jpeg)

## **Chapter1: Introduction**

![](_page_6_Picture_175.jpeg)

## **Chapter 2: Analysis of the 2q33-q36 locus, EJM9**

![](_page_6_Picture_176.jpeg)

## **Chapter 3: Analysis of the locus at 5p15.33-q12.1**

![](_page_6_Picture_177.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_53.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_54.jpeg)

## **Chapter 1**

### **Introduction**

### **1.1. Epilepsy**

Epilepsy is a common neurological disorder characterized by recurrent epileptic seizures usually unprovoked by any immediately identifiable cause. One of the first descriptions of epileptic seizures can be traced back to 2,000 B.C. in ancient Akkadian texts, a language widely used in the region of Mesopotamia. The author described a patient with symptoms resembling epilepsy: *his neck turns left, his hands and feet are tense and his eyes wide open, and from his mouth froth is flowing without having any consciousness.* There are many reports which show that epilepsy was known in the ancient times but was not understood until mid-1950s. Modern advances in the understanding of epilepsy came during the 18th and 19th century. During this period, John Hughlings Jackson studied epilepsy in detail and published his first paper on epilepsy in 1861. He is considered the father of modern epileptology (Novel aspects on epilepsy, 2011).

Seizures, hallmark of epilepsy, are brief episodes of involuntary shaking which may involve a part of the body or the whole body and may be accompanied by loss of consciousness. An epileptic seizure is a clinical manifestation of an abnormal and excessive discharge of a set of neurons in the brain. Seizures may arise in a localized area of the brain or may involve the whole brain. The signs and symptoms depend on which area of the brain is affected. The hippocampal formation and cerebral cortex are considered the most epileptogenic regions of the brain (Bozzi et al 2012).

### **1.1.1. Epilepsy classification**

The classification of the epilepsies is an evolving process for which the ultimate goal is to establish a clinically relevant, scientifically-based classification. Classification of epilepsy was first published in 1960 with official updates made in 1981 for seizures (Commission on Classification and Terminology of the International League Against Epilepsy [ILAE], 1981) and 1989 for epilepsies (Commission on Classification and Terminology of the International League Against Epilepsy, 1989). In 2010, the first major modernization of the epilepsy classification was recommended. It was based on the new insights gained in the field of epilepsy based on major scientific advances such as modern neuroimaging, genomic technologies and concepts in molecular biology. Significantly, it presented an Organization rather than a Classification to denote that knowledge is still inadequate to have a fundamental framework on which to base a scientific classification (Berg et al 2010).

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **1.2. Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME)**

Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) was first described by Frenchman Théodore Herpin in 1867 (Eadie et al 2002) and then several patients with JME were reported and described in an article by Janz and Christian in 1957 (Janz et al 1957). Therefore JME is also known as Janz syndrome. Later the term juvenile myoclonic epilepsy was included in the International League Against Epilepsy classification of epileptic syndromes in 1989 (ILAE 1989).

JME is an age-dependent disorder with onset typically during adolescence (12-15 yrs). It is characterized by irregular myoclonic jerks (MJ) occurring early in the morning, although many patients experience generalized tonic-clonic seizures (GTCS) and absence seizures as well. Myoclonus manifests itself as sudden jerks in the muscles, usually involves bilateral and proximal upper extremity. It can also afflict distal muscles, such as the hands or the lower limbs (Moschetta et al 2011). Having interpreted as a manifestation of myoclonus nervousness or restlessness, it is the seizure that brings the patient to the doctor or hospital. Convulsive seizures usually come a few months after the onset of myoclonus, but in some it may take several years (Asconapé et al 1984).

### **1.2.1. Epidemiology**

The incidence of JME has been estimated to be 1 per 100,000 individuals. The prevalence of JME has been estimated to be 5-10% of all epilepsies and 18% of idiopathic generalized epilepsies (IGE) (Jallon et al 2005). The literature suggests that JME typically appears in the second decade of life. However, the age of onset of JME spans a wide range from about 8-36 years, with peak onset between 12 and 18 years (Delgado-Escueta et al 1984). Those with the onset of JME outside the 8-36 year age bracket are uncommon and should be carefully evaluated for other diagnoses.

### **1.2.2. Clinical features**

JME is clinically a heterogeneous disorder characterized by myoclonic jerks mainly on awakening and is often associated to generalized tonic-clonic seizures (GTCS: 80-97% cases) and typical absence seizures (12-54% cases) (Montalenti et al 2001). The myoclonic jerks are characterized by single or repetitive, bilateral, abrupt, symmetric, arrhythmic, involuntary movements, predominantly involving the shoulders and arms; however, they can be unilateral. Neurologic examination and neuroimaging results are normal in JME patients. Typical EEG features of JME consist of generalized discharges of single or multiple spike and slow wave of frequency of 3–5 Hz, although occasional complexes as slow as 2 Hz or as fast as 7 Hz may be evident.

Precipitating factors of epileptic seizures are varied, and the most common one is sleep deprivation, reported in 58.3-89.5% of cases. Other seizure triggering factors that have been identified include fatigue (73.7%), photosensitivity (36.8%), menses (24.1%), mental concentration (22.8%), and stress, excitement, or frustration (12.3%). Alcohol ingestion was also found to be a precipitating factor in 51.2% of cases (Alfradique et al 2007)

### **1.2.3. JME genetics**

The etiology of JME is largely genetic and several genes have been identified. Genetic studies establish JME as a complex trait, associated with extensive genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity. Genetic heterogeneity could perhaps explain dissimilarities of the phenotypic expression of JME, as well as the conflicting linkage results from different populations. Ethnic background of the population, by contributing to heterogeneity in susceptibility alleles and polygenic effects, may contribute to genotypic and phenotypic heterogeneity (Vijay et al 2003). To date 30 loci linked or associated with JME phenotype have been reported, out of which putative causative genes have been identified at 8 (Delgado-Escueta et al 2013). OMIM (Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man; http:// www.omim.org/) has listed 9 JME loci, of which the causative genes have been identified only for four (Table 1.1).

Cytogenetic Location	Loci name	<b>Gene identified in the loci</b>	<b>Reference</b>
6p12.2	EJM1	EFHC1	Suzuki et al 2004
5q14	EJM2		Elmslie et al 1997
6p21	EJM3		Greenberg et al 1998
$5q12-q14$	EJM4		Kapoor et al 2007
5q34	EIM <sub>5</sub>	GABRA1	Cossette et al 2002
2q23.3	EJM6	CACNB4	Escayg et al 2000
1p36.33	EJM7	GABRD	Dibbens et al 2004
3q27.1	EJM8		
2q33-q36	EJM9		Ratnapriya et al 2010

**Table 1.1:** JME loci and genes given in OMIM

### **1.3. Objectives of my work**

Till date, only a few genes for Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy have been mapped and identified in large families. In the present study my aim is to identify disease-causing genes at two genomic loci linked to Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy in two different large families from south India. These loci are mapped to chromosome 2q (EJM9) and 5p region. To find the causative mutation in both disease-linked intervals, next generation sequencing experiment was conducted. To identify the disease causing mutation in these two families, I examined all the protein coding genes in these disease-linked loci.

Chapters 2 and 3 of my thesis present the results of this analysis.

### **Chapter 2**

## **Analysis of the 2q33-q36 locus, EJM9**

**Summary:** In this chapter, a study aimed at identifying a potential JME-causing gene in a fourgenerational, multi-affected family, SCT135, is presented. A locus at 2q33-q36 was identified in this family by Ratnapriya et al 2010. The critical genomic region encompasses 24Mb of sequence length. To examine this region, a whole-exome based sequencing and analysis was carried out in two affected members of SCT135. Among 969 of gene variants detected, three novel rare variants: c.966A>T in *DES*, c.401G>C in *USP37* and c.2908C>T in *TNS1* were observed in the family. Each of these three variants co-segregated with the disease phenotype. However, on examining their presence in apparently normal control individuals, only c.966A>T in *DES* appeared to be a potential causative variant in SCT135.

### **2.1. Background research data**

The family under study, SCT135, is a four generation multi-affected family. Out of the 17 members who had participated in the study, 6 have JME with manifestation of myoclonic seizures and generalized tonic-clonic seizures (GTCS). Whereas, few affected individuals also exhibited absence and febrile seizures.

### **2.1.1. Whole-genome based linkage mapping**

Using 382 microsatellite markers from the ABI Prism Linkage Mapping Set  $V_{2.5}$ , genome wide linkage analysis was performed. Allele sizes were defined by GeneMapper<sup>TM</sup> (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) and their Mendelian segregation was checked in the family. Linkage analysis was performed by two- and multi-point lod score calculations and highest two-point lod score of 2.29 was obtained at recombination fraction (θ) = 0 for the microsatellite marker D2S2248 at 2q35. This region was further fine mapped with additional microsatellite markers and the highest lod score of 3.32 was obtained for the marker D2S2248. No significant evidence of linkage was found for markers elsewhere in the genome. The boundary for the disease-linked haplotype was defined by recombination events. This linked region, which falls between D2S116 and D2S2390, is of 24Mb sequence length and harbors 158 annotated protein coding genes.

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 2.1: Position of the EJM9 locus (2q33-q36) on chromosome 2.

**Objective of current study:** Based on the evidence of linkage of the locus 2q33-q36 with JME in the family SCT135, the aim of my work is to analyze this region using whole exome based sequencing and identify the disease-causing gene in this family.

### **2.2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.2.1. Whole-exome sequencing experiment**

Five micrograms of genomic DNA was fragmented (sonication at 55 pulses ON at 30s ON and 30s OFF) (Bioruptor-Diagenode, NJ, USA) and purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, CA, USA). The target peak for base pair size was 150-400 bp. The sheared DNA was analyzed for size distribution using Agilent DNA 1000 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Successively, the sheared DNA fragments were used to construct DNA libraries using Agilent's SureSelectXT Target Enrichment System for Illumina Paired-End Sequencing Library. The constructed library was reformed by a series of steps using different enzymes to repair ends and make blunt-ended 5' phosphorylated fragments, add a single nucleotide A overhang and ligate 60bp sequence adaptors to fragment ends. Each step was followed by a purification step using Agencourt AMPure XP beads. After ligation, the adapterligated fragments were enriched by PCR and concentrated using a vacuum concentrator (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The library was then hybridized to SureSelect™ biotinylated RNA baits at 65°C for 24-72 hours. Hybridized library fragments were isolated by magnetic capture using Dynal M-280 streptavidin coated beads (Invitrogen, CA, USA) followed by purification of the capture library-bead solution using AMPure XP beads. PCR amplification was carried out to enrich the captured library and the amplified products were purified using AMPure XP beads. The Amplified Capture DNA was analyzed using the high sensitivity bioanalyzer chip (Agilent) which shows a peak in the size range of 300-400 nucleotides. The SureSelect Human exome kit is designed to enrich total of 51 Mb region in genome. The sequencing was carried out for the captured libraries with Illumina Genome Analyzer IIx platform (GAIIx) obtaining the 72 bp paired-end reads.

### **2.2.2. Next-generation sequencing analysis**

The whole-exome FASTAQ sequencing reads were aligned to human genome reference (hg19/GRch37) using BWA v-0.6.0 (Li and Durbin 2009). The reads showing at least 70% of bases with a minimum Phred score of 20, obtained by SeqQC v-2.0 (http:// genotypic.co.in/Products/7/Seq-QC.aspx), were used for alignment. Using SAMtools v-0.1.7a (Li et al 2009), duplicate reads arising possibly from PCR artifacts, were removed. The variant calling was performed by SAMtools at a Phred like SNP quality score of 20. The variants identified were annotated by SNPeff and filtered against the dbSNP131. Novel variants were further examined in updated databases such as dbSNP139 (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/), 1000 Genomes (http://browser.1000genomes.org/index.html), Ensemble (http://asia.ensembl.org/index.html) and EVS datasets (http:// evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/). In order to obtain potential variants, which may have gotten missed at high coverage, variants up to 3x read depth were manually examined. Those transcript regions which remained uncovered by the whole-exome sequencing were manually identified and examined by Sanger sequencing.

### **2.2.3. Sanger-based sequence validation and genetic analysis**

All new variants identified in the NGS dataset were validated by Sanger sequencing. Primers were designed spanning the variant-carrying exons/regions. The variants common to both NGS-sequenced samples were analyzed in other family members for their presence/absence. The co-segregating changes were examined in an ethnically matched control set of normal individuals.

### **2.2.3.1. Polymerase chain reaction**

Primers were designed for the exons/regions having the variants identified in the NGS analysis using the Primer3.0 (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/) and Oligocalc (http://www.basic.northwestern.edu/biotools/OligoCalc.html) softwares and synthesized by Sigma-Aldrich. The PCR conditions were standardized for each primer set and amplification was performed on thermal cycler GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies). The PCR reaction mixture contained deionized water, 10mM Tris-HCl, 50mM KCl, 1.5mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.8mM dNTPs, 0.25μM of each primer, 0.05U/μl Taq Polymerase (NEB) and 100ng/μl DNA in a 20μl volume. The standardized amplification conditions were: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds, annealing for 30 seconds and elongation at 72°C for 30 seconds, and a final extension step for 10 minutes at  $72^{\circ}$ C. The amplified products were electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gel containing 2μl Ethidium Bromide (2μg/ml) and purified using a Millipore vacuum manifold plate and eluted in 20μl of deionized water.

### **2.2.3.2. Sanger based sequencing**

PCR-amplified products were single strand amplified by cycle sequencing using 1μl of BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing reaction mix (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA), 1X sequencing buffer (Applied Biosystems), 0.25μM primer and 3μl of purified PCR product in a 20μl volume. The following cycling conditions were used: initial denaturation at 95°C for 1 minute, followed by 25 cycles of denaturation at 96°C for 10 seconds, annealing at 50°C for 5 seconds and extension at 60°C for 4 minutes, and a final hold at 4°C. It is followed by alcohol precipitation of the amplified product by adding 16 μl of chilled autoclaved deionized water and 64 μl of chilled 95% alcohol to each well of the sequencing plate. The sequencing plate contents were invert mixed and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes, followed by centrifugation at 2500g for 30 minutes. The precipitated DNA was washed with 150 μl of 70% alcohol followed by a 10 minutes centrifugation at 2000g. The plate was air dried to remove all residual alcohol and the DNA denatured at  $95^{\circ}$  C in presence of 10<sub>kl</sub> of formamide per well. These denatured single stranded amplified products were Sanger sequenced using an automated DNA sequencer, DNA Analyzer 3730 (Applied Biosystems). The sequences thus obtained were aligned to the respective reference gene sequences obtained from Genbank database and the variations were identified using SeqMan 5.01 (DNASTAR, Madison, WI).

### **2.2.4. Bioinformatic analysis**

*DES* protein sequence for multiple species was obtained from NCBI (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and aligned by Clustal Omega (http:// www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). To predict the effect of the variation on the structure/function of the protein, various bioinformatics tools: SIFT (http://sift.jcvi.org/), PolyPhen-2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/) and Mutation taster (http:// www.mutationtaster.org/) were used.

### **2.3. Results**

To examine the 2q33-q36 region in detail, whole-exome based sequencing was undertaken. This region spans 24Mb of sequence length and harbors 158 well annotated protein coding genes. In the whole-exome sequencing experiment, a total of 9.59 GB sequence per sample, as 43.74 million paired end reads of 72 bp, were generated from sequencing on the GAIIx where more than 98% of bases were of high quality (Table 2.1 and 2.2) and a total coverage of 98% was obtained. The region of our interest has 2061 coding exons and 563 non-coding exons out of which 120 coding exons and 380 non-coding exons have not been covered by the wholeexome sequencing. These missing exons have been targeted to be covered by Sanger sequencing. Out of the 120 missing coding exons, 95 have been Sanger sequenced. Upon variant analysis a total of 940 variants that were identified were already reported in dbSNP135 or 1000 Genome project datasets and hence, were not carried forward for our study. The 29 novel variants identified were Sanger validated and then checked for their segregation in the family. Three variants, namely, c.966A>T in *DES,* c.401G>C in *USP37* and c.2908C>T in *TNS1*, co-segregated with the JME phenotype in the family. Two of the cosegregating variants, c.401G>C in *USP37* and c.2908C>T in *TNS1*, were present in normal control individuals and were therefore, not taken forward for analysis (table 2.3).

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 2.2:** Analysis of the novel variants identified: Each category with the number of variants identified is shown.

### **Table 2.1:** Summary statistics for the *Illumina* reads generated

![](_page_19_Picture_136.jpeg)

<sup>a</sup> Bases with Phred score >20, <sup>b</sup> Reads with more than 70% bases with Phred score >20, <sup>c</sup> Reads were filtered for PCR duplicates, <sup>d</sup> Wholeexome target, <sup>e</sup> Target is exome in the EJM9 locus on chromosome 2. The reference genome is human ref seq, hg19 (GRch37).

![](_page_19_Picture_137.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_138.jpeg)

Coverage is for the EJM9 exome on chromosome 2 and whole-exome region targeted by the whole-exome sequencing experiment.

![](_page_20_Picture_358.jpeg)

## **Table 2.3:** New gene variants identified in the EJM9 locus by whole-exome sequencing analysis

![](_page_21_Picture_156.jpeg)

The variant, c.966A>T in *DES*, was observed to co-segregate with JME and was absent in 384 chromosomes of normal control individuals. The change of 'A' nucleotide to 'T' nucleotide gives rise to an amino acid change of glutamic acid to aspartic acid at 322nd position of desmin protein molecule. To determine the functional importance of E322, its conservation was examined across *DES* from different species using clustal omega to perform multiple sequence alignment. The residue was found to be conserved in Human, Chimpanzee, Olive baboon, cow, sheep, pig, dog, chicken, pigeon, mouse, rat, golden hamster, ferret, frog, dog-fish and zebrafish (Figure 2.3)

 **A** *DES***, c.966A>T B** *DES***, p.322E>D** 

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

Zebrafish SKNNEALKQSKLETM**E**YRHQIQSYTCEIDSL

![](_page_22_Picture_158.jpeg)

**Figure 2.3: Novel, rare, co-segregating variant c.966A>T in** *DES***: A:** Representative sequences of an affected and a normal individual. Arrow marks the nucleotide showing variation. **B:**  The corresponding amino acid conservation for the variation.

To check for the effect of the variant p.322E>D on function of the desmin protein molecule, bioinformatic analysis tools: PolyPhen-2, SIFT and Mutation taster, were used. PolyPhen-2 and mutation taster predicted that this variant can have possible damaging effects but SIFT predicted the effect to be neutral.

### **2.4. Discussion**

The aim of the current study was to examine the EJM9 locus using whole-exome sequencing to isolate any possible candidate gene/s causing JME in SCT135.

Upon analysis of all the variants picked in the whole exome analysis three novel, segregating variants, namely, c.966A>T in *DES*, c.401G>C in *USP37* and c.2908C>T in *TNS1* were identified. Two of the disease co-segregating variants, namely, c.401G>C in *USP37* and c.2908C>T in *TNS1*, were present in normal control individuals and were therefore not taken forward for our analysis.

The final variant that was highlighted in our analysis was c.966A>T in *DES*. This variant gives rise to a p.322E>D mutation in the desmin protein. The amino acid Glu322 was found to be evolutionary conserved and the Glu322Asp mutation is predicted to cause deleterious effects on normal protein functionality. These results suggest p.322E>D substitution in Desmin to be the underlying genetic defect, thus leading to epilepsy phenotype in this family.

*DES* codes for a 470 amino acid, muscle specific, type III intermediate filament. It is important for cell architecture and structure as it connects many cell organelles. This protein was first reported in 1976 (Lazarides et al 1976) in an immunological characterization based study aimed at studying intermediate filaments from muscle cells. Mutations in *DES* gene have been reported to cause human skeletal as well as cardiac myopathy, termed desmin related myopathies (Clemen et al 2013). Before establishing *DES* as the JME causing gene in SCT135, all remaining missing and low coverage exons need to be examined and checked for presence/absence of any other disease-segregating rare variant/s. To gather additional genetic evidence for its involvement in JME causation, it needs to sequenced and analysed in large cohort of JME affected samples.

## **Chapter 3**

## **Analysis of the locus at 5p15.33-q12.1**

**Summary:** In this chapter, a study aimed at identifying a potential JME causing gene in a multiple generation, multi-affected family, GLH35, is presented. A locus at 5p15.33-q12.1 was identified to be segregating with the JME phenotype in this family (unpublished data). The critical genomic region encompasses 64Mb of sequence length. To examine this region, a whole exome based sequencing and analysis was carried out in two affected members of GLH35. Among a large number (891) of gene variants detected, twelve novel rare variants: c.8952-49C>G in *DNAH5*, c.582C>T in *CDH18*, c.644-71T>G in *CDH18*, c.1394-11G>A in *CDH12*, c.538-30C>T in *IL7R*, c.8044G>C in *C5ORF42*, c.2413A>G in *NUP155*, c.364-43C>T in *PRKAA1*, c.3749+28T>C in *HEATR7B2*, c.\*60G>A in *GZMA*, c.1525G>A in *CDC20B*, c.865-24C>T in *DDX4* were observed in the family. Each of these twelve variants co-segregated with the clinical phenotype. Further, upon checking for allele frequencies in apparently normal individuals, four of the twelve variants had MAF>0.005 and were hence not carried forward for analysis. The remaining eight variants were analyzed for their possible role in causation of JME in GLH35.

### **3.1. Background research data**

The family under study, GLH35, is a three generation multi affected family. Out of the 13 members who had participated in the current study, 7 have JME with manifestation of myoclonic seizures and generalized tonic-clonic seizures (GTCS). A few affected individuals also have absence seizures and febrile seizures.

### **3.1.1. Whole-genome based linkage mapping**

Using 382 microsatellite markers from the ABI Prism Linkage Mapping Set  $V_{2.5}$ , genome wide linkage analysis was performed. Allele sizes were defined by GeneMapper<sup>TM</sup> (Applied Biosystems) and their Mendelian segregation was checked in the family. Linkage analysis was performed by two and multipoint lod score calculations and highest two-point lod score of 1.7 was obtained at recombination fraction=0 for D5S426 at 5p13.2. No suggestive evidence of linkage was found for markers elsewhere in the genome. The boundary for the region that was linked and co-segregated with the disease phenotype was defined by D5S1981 and D5S407. This linked region is of 64 Mb sequence length and harbors 177 annotated protein coding genes.

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Figure 3.1:** Position of the loci 5p15.33-q12.1 on chromosome 5.

**Objective of current study:** Based on the evidence suggesting the linkage of the locus 5p15.33-q12.1 with JME in the family GLH35, the aim of my work is to analyze this region using whole exome based sequencing and analysis to identify a potential JME causing candidate gene.

### **3.2. Materials and Methods**

### **3.2.1. Whole-exome sequencing experiment**

Five micrograms of genomic DNA was fragmented (sonication at 55 pulses ON at 30s ON and 30s OFF) (Bioruptor-Diagenode, NJ, USA) and purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, CA, USA). The target peak for base pair size was 150-400 bp. The sheared DNA was analyzed for size distribution using Agilent DNA 1000 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). Successively, the sheared DNA fragments were used to construct DNA libraries using Agilent's SureSelectXT Target Enrichment System for Illumina Paired-End Sequencing Library. The constructed library was reformed by a series of steps using different enzymes to repair ends and make blunt-ended 5' phosphorylated fragments, add a single nucleotide A overhang and ligate 60bp sequence adaptors to fragment ends. Each step was followed by a purification step using Agencourt AMPure XP beads. After ligation, the adapterligated fragments were enriched by PCR and concentrated using a vacuum concentrator (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The library was then hybridized to SureSelect™ biotinylated RNA baits at 65°C for 24-72 hours. Hybridized library fragments were isolated by magnetic capture using Dynal M-280 streptavidin coated beads (Invitrogen, CA, USA) followed by purification of the capture library-bead solution using AMPure XP beads. PCR amplification was carried out to enrich the captured library and the amplified products were purified using AMPure XP beads. The Amplified Capture DNA was analyzed using the high sensitivity bioanalyzer chip (Agilent) which shows a peak in the size range of 300-400 nucleotides. The SureSelect Human exome kit is designed to enrich total of 51 Mb region in genome. The sequencing was carried out for the captured libraries with Illumina Genome Analyzer IIx platform (GAIIx) obtaining the 72 bp paired-end reads.

### **3.2.2. Next-generation sequencing analysis**

The whole-exome FASTAQ sequencing reads were aligned to human genome reference (hg19/GRch37) using BWA v-0.6.0 (Li and Durbin 2009). The reads showing at least 70% of bases with a minimum Phred score of 20, obtained by SeqQC v-2.0 (http://genotypic .co.in/Products/7/Seq-QC.aspx), were used for alignment. Using SAMtools v-0.1.7a (Li et al 2009), duplicate reads arising possibly from PCR artifacts, were removed. The variant calling was performed by SAMtools at a Phred like SNP quality score of 20. The variants identified were annotated by SNPeff and filtered against the dbSNP131. Novel variants were further examined in updated databases such as dbSNP139 (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/), 1000 Genomes (http://browser.1000genomes.org/index.html), Ensemble (http://asia.ensembl.org/index.html) and EVS datasets (http:// evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/). In order to obtain potential variants, which may have gotten missed at high coverage, variants up to 3x read depth were manually examined. Those transcript regions which remained uncovered by the whole-exome sequencing were manually identified and examined by Sanger sequencing.

### **3.2.3. Sanger-based sequence validation and genetic analysis**

All new variants identified in the NGS dataset were validated by Sanger sequencing. Primers were designed spanning the variant-carrying exons/regions. The variants common to both NGS-sequenced samples were analyzed in other family members for their presence/absence. The co-segregating changes were examined in an ethnically matched control set of normal individuals.

### **3.2.3.1. Polymerase chain reaction**

Primers were designed for the exons/regions having the variants identified in the NGS analysis using the Primer3.0 (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/) and Oligocalc (http://www.basic.northwestern.edu/biotools/OligoCalc.html) softwares and synthesized by Sigma-Aldrich. The PCR conditions were standardized for each primer set and amplification was performed on thermal cycler GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies). The PCR reaction mixture contained deionized water, 10mM Tris-HCl, 50mM KCl, 1.5mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.8mM dNTPs, 0.25μM of each primer, 0.05U/μl Taq Polymerase (NEB) and 100ng/μl DNA in a 20μl volume. The standardized amplification conditions were: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds, annealing for 30 seconds and elongation at 72°C for 30 seconds, and a final extension step for 10 minutes at  $72^{\circ}$ C. The amplified products were electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gel containing 2μl Ethidium Bromide (2μg/ml) and purified using a Millipore vacuum manifold plate and eluted in 20μl of deionized water.

### **3.2.3.2. Sanger based sequencing**

PCR-amplified products were single strand amplified by cycle sequencing using 1μl of BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing reaction mix (Applied Biosystems), 1X sequencing buffer (Applied Biosystems), 0.25μM primer and 3μl of purified PCR product in a 20μl volume. The following cycling conditions were used: initial denaturation at 95°C for 1 minute, followed by 25 cycles of denaturation at 96°C for 10 seconds, annealing at 50°C for 5 seconds and extension at 60°C for 4 minutes, and a final hold at 4°C. It is followed by alcohol precipitation of the amplified product by adding 16 μl of chilled autoclaved deionized water and 64 μl of chilled 95% alcohol to each well of the sequencing plate. The sequencing plate contents were invert mixed and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes, followed by centrifugation at 2500g for 30 minutes. The precipitated DNA was washed with 150 μl of 70% alcohol followed by a 10 minutes centrifugation at 2000g. The plate was air dried to remove all residual alcohol and the DNA denatured at  $95^{\circ}$  C in presence of  $10\mu$  of formamide per well. These denatured single stranded amplified products were Sanger sequenced using an automated DNA sequencer, DNA Analyzer 3730 (Applied Biosystems). The sequences thus obtained were aligned to the respective reference gene sequences obtained from Genbank database and the variations were identified using SeqMan 5.01 (DNASTAR, Madison, WI).

### **3.2.4. Bioinformatic analysis**

Nucleotide or protein sequences for *DNAH5, CDH18, CDH12, IL7R, C5ORF42, NUP155, PRKAA1, HEATR7B2, GZMA, CDC20B*, and *DDX4* were obtained from NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) and aligned by Clustal omega (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). To predict the effect of the variation on the structure/function of the protein, various bioinformatics tools: SIFT (http://sift.jcvi.org/), PolyPhen-2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/) and Mutation taster (http://www.mutationtaster.org/) were used. Splice site predictions for the intronic changes were made using: Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project - Splice Site Prediction (http:// www.fruitfly.org/seq\_tools/splice.html) and Human Splicing Finder v2.4.1 (http:// www.umd.be/HSF/).

### **3.3. Results**

To examine the 5p15.33-q12.1 region in detail, whole-exome based sequencing was undertaken. This region spans 64Mb of sequence length and encodes for 177 protein coding genes. In the whole-exome sequencing experiment, a total of 9.01 GB sequence, as 41.58 million paired end reads of 72bp, was generated from sequencing on the GAIIx where more than 98% of bases were of high quality (Table 3.1 and 3.2) and a total coverage of 98% was obtained. The region of our interest has 2255 coding exons and 570 non-coding exons out of which 136 coding exons and 415 non-coding exons have not been covered by the wholeexome sequencing. These missing exons shall be examined by Sanger sequencing. A total of 855 variants that were identified were already reported in dbSNP135 or 1000 Genome project datasets and hence were not carried forward for further study. The 36 novel variants identified were validated and then checked for their segregation in the family.

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure** 3.2: Analysis of the novel variants identified: Each category with the number of variants identified is shown.

Twelve variants: c.8952-49C>G in *DNAH5*, c.582C>T in *CDH18*, c.644-71T>G in *CDH18*, c.1394- 11G>A in *CDH12*, c.538-30C>T in *IL7R*, c.8044G>C in *C5ORF42*, c.2413A>G in *NUP155*, c.364- 43C>T in *PRKAA1*, c.3749+28T>C in *HEATR7B2*, c.\*60G>A in *GZMA*, c.1525G>A in *CDC20B*, c.865-24C>T in *DDX4*, co-segregated with the JME phenotype in the family. Four of the cosegregating variants, namely c.582C>T in *CDH18*, c.644-71T>G in *CDH18*, c.364-43C>T in *PRKAA1*, c.3749+28T>C in *HEATR7B2*, were present in normal control individuals and were therefore not taken forward for our analysis. The remaining eight variants, namely, c.8952- 49C>G in *DNAH5*, c.1394-11G>A in *CDH12*, c.538-30C>T in *IL7R*, c.8044G>C in *C5ORF42*, c.2413A>G in *NUP155*, c.\*60G>A in *GZMA*, c.1525G>A in *CDC20B*, c.865-24C>T in *DDX4*, were observed to co-segregate with JME and were present at MAF < 0.005 in 192 chromosomes of normal control-individuals examined. In order to further characterize the remaining 8 variants, bioinformatic analysis was carried out to check the conservation status (at DNA or protein level) and the effect of the variant on the protein structure/function. Out of the three non-synonymous variants, namely, c.8044G>C in *C5ORF42*, c.2413A>G in *NUP155*, c.1525G>A in *CDC20B*, only *CDC20B* was conserved across species and showed deleterious effects on performing mutation prediction analysis using PolyPhen-2, SIFT and mutation taster. None of the analysis done on the intronic variants showed any evidence of them being disease causing.

![](_page_31_Picture_146.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_147.jpeg)

a Bases with Phred score >20, <sup>b</sup> Reads with more than 70% bases with Phred score >20, c Reads were filtered for PCR duplicates, <sup>d</sup> Whole-exome target, <sup>e</sup> Target is exome in the 5p15.33-q12.1 locus on chromosome 5. The reference genome is hg19 (GRch37).

**Table 3.2**: Sequence coverage summary for the 5p15.33-q12.1 locus whole-exome sequencing experiment

Coverage summary	Chromosome 5	Whole exome	Chromosome 5	Whole exome
% Total Target covered with at least 5X Read Depth	95.43	94.22	95.61	94.01
%Total Target covered with at least 10X Read Depth	91.93	89.68	93.57	89.86
%Total Target covered with at least 15X Read Depth	88.02	85.33	91.49	85.97
%Total Target covered with at least 20X Read Depth	83.85	80.94	88.69	81.91

Coverage is for the 5p15.33-q12.1 exome on chromosome 5 and whole-exome region targeted by the whole-exome sequencing experiment.

Gene	<b>Sequence Variant</b>	Location	<b>Effect on</b> protein	<b>Family segregation</b>	<b>Allele frequency</b> in controls	<b>Allele frequency</b> in databases
EXOC3	NM_007277.4:c.144+59T>C	Intron 2		not segregating	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
SLC9A3	NM_004174.2:c.1816C>T	Exon 12	p.606R>W	not segregating	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\qquad \qquad -$
TRIP13	NM_004237.3:c.535+39A>G	Intron 5		not segregating		1 in 13,005
CLPTM1L	NM_030782.3:c.1371+29G>A	Intron 14		not segregating	$\qquad \qquad -$	freq not given
SLC6A3	NM_001044.4:c.1805A>G	Exon 14	p.602E>W	not segregating	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.006
MED10	NM_032286.2:*47G>A	Exon 4		not segregating	$\qquad \qquad -$	
MARCH6	NM_005885.3:c.2506+4C>T	Exon 24		not segregating	$\qquad \qquad -$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$
MARCH6	NM_005885.3:c.2642+71A>G	Exon 25	$\equiv$	not segregating	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	
ROPN1L	NM_031916.4:c.269G>A	Exon 3	p.90R > Q	not segregating	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$-$
DNAH5	NM_001369.2:c.8952-49C>G	Intron 53		Co-segregating	1/192	
<b>CDH18</b>	NM_004934.3:c.582C>T	Exon 5	$p.(=)$	Co-segregating	3/192	0.006
<b>CDH18</b>	NM_004934.3:c.644-71T>G	Intron 5		Co-segregating	3/192	0.002
<b>CDH12</b>	NM_004061.3:c.1394-11G>A	Intron 11		Co-segregating	0/192	
<b>DROSHA</b>	NM_013235.4:c.1091G>A	Exon 7	p.364R>H	not segregating		
C1QTNF3	NM_181435.5:c.571-87G>T	Intron 3	$\equiv$	not segregating	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	
<b>RAI14</b>	NM_015577.2:c.37-50G>A	Exon 3	$\equiv$	not segregating		0.001
IL7R	NM_002185.3:c.538-30C>T	Intron 4		Co-segregating	0/192	
IL7R	NM_002185.3:c.1092T>G	Exon 8	p.364D>E	not segregating		freq not given
<b>NIPBL</b>	NM_133433.3:c.6589+9A>T	Intron 38		not segregating	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{m}}$
$C5$ orf42	NM_023073.3:c.8044G>C	Exon 41	p.2682G>R	Co-segregating	0/384	

**Table 3.3:** New gene variants identified in the 5p15.33-q12.1 locus by whole-exome sequencing analysis

![](_page_33_Picture_199.jpeg)

### *IL7R***, c.538-30C>T**

### **A B**

c.538-30C>T c.538-30C

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_225.jpeg)

Conservation of c.538-30C in IL7R. This nucleotide is conserved in 6 out of 10 species.

### *C5ORF42***,**

c.8044G> p.2682G

![](_page_34_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_13.jpeg)

### **A B**

![](_page_34_Picture_226.jpeg)

Conservation of p.2682G in C5ORF42. This amino acid residue is conserved in 3 out of 9 species.

### *NUP155***, c.2413A>G**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

### c.2413A>G p.805I

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_235.jpeg)

Conservation of p.805I in NUP155. This amino acid residue is not conserved in any of the 18 species examined.

### *GZMA***, c.\*60G>A**

**A B**

c.\*60G>A c.\*60G

![](_page_35_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_236.jpeg)

Conservation of c.\*60G in the GZMA gene. This nucleotide is conserved in 6 out of 10 species analyzed.

### *CDC20B***, c.1525G>A**

c.1525G>A p.50

G c ÀА C T G

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **A B**

![](_page_36_Picture_251.jpeg)

Conservation of p.509A in CDC20B. This amino acid residue is conserved in 14 out of 16 species.

## *DDX4***, c.865-24C>T**

**A B**

![](_page_36_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_10.jpeg)

### c.865-24C>T c.865-24C

![](_page_36_Picture_252.jpeg)

Conservation of c.865-24C in the DDX4 gene. This nucleotide is conserved in 9 out of 13 species.

### *DNAH5***, c.8952-49C>G**

### **A B**

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_190.jpeg)

Conservation of c.8952-49C in the DNAH5 gene. This nucleotide is conserved in 3 out of 9 species analyzed.

### *CDH12***, c.1394-11G>A A B** c.1394-11G>A c.1394-11G Zebrafish TGTTTGTGT**G**GTTTATCTA Turtle TCTTTCTAA**C**ATTTTTATA Mouse TTTTTTTAT**G**TTTTAAACA Rat TC--TTTAT**G**TTTTAAACA TATATATTTTT Chicken TCCTA-AAA**A**TTATTTACA Dog TCTTTCTAT**G**TTTTCAACA Cow TCCTTGTAT**G**TTTTAAACA Pig TCCTTTCATGTTTTTAACA WT Human TCTTTATAT**G**TTTTTAACA Chimp TCTTTATATGTTTTTAACA Macaque TCTTTATAT**G**TTTTTAACA \* : .: \*:\* :. \* ATGTTTT

Conservation of c.1394-11G in the CDH12 gene. This nucleotide is conserved in 9 out of 11 species analyzed.

**Figure 3.3: Novel, rare, co-segregating variant: A:** Representative sequences of an affected individual and a normal individual. Arrow marks the nucleotide showing variation. **B:** The corresponding amino acid conservation for the variation.

### **3.4. Discussion**

The present study was aimed at elucidating a plausible JME causing gene at the 5p15.33-q12.1 locus, identified in GLH35 by genome wide linkage analysis followed by whole exome based sequencing.

On analyzing all the variants picked in the whole exome analysis twelve novel, segregating variants: c.8952-49C>G in *DNAH5*, c.582C>T in *CDH18*, c.644-71T>G in *CDH18*, c.1394-11G>A in *CDH12*, c.538-30C>T in *IL7R*, c.8044G>C in *C5ORF42*, c.2413A>G in *NUP155*, c.364-43C>T in *PRKAA1*, c.3749+28T>C in *HEATR7B2*, c.\*60G>A in *GZMA*, c.1525G>A in *CDC20B*, c.865-24C>T in *DDX4*, were identified. Upon checking the allele frequency for all these twelve variants in apparently normal control individuals, four of the variants were eliminated due to their high minor allele frequency (MAF>0.005). Conservation and mutation analysis was carried out for the remaining eight variants, namely, c.8952-49C>G in *DNAH5*, c.1394-11G>A in *CDH12*, c.538- 30C>T in *IL7R*, c.8044G>C in *C5ORF42*, c.2413A>G in *NUP155*, c.\*60G>A in *GZMA*, c.1525G>A in *CDC20B*, c.865-24C>T in *DDX4*. The only variation which stands out at this point of the study is c.1525G>A in *CDC20B* which gives rise to a residue change of p.509A>T. This residue is highly conserved across species and bioinformatic prediction studies show that this residue change has a deleterious effect on the *CDC20B* protein.

*CDC20B* is a 519 amino acid cell division cycle protein 20 homolog B protein. It belongs to the WD repeat family and has 7 WD repeats. Not much literature is available for this protein. In order to show that this is the disease causing factor in the family GLH 35, all the missing exons need to be covered to eliminate the presence of any other candidate variant.

## **Appendix I**

## **NGS read alignments showing rare, co-segregating variants**

(A)In locus 2q33-q36 (EJM9)

*DES***, c.966A>T**

![](_page_39_Picture_4.jpeg)

**(B)**In locus 5p15.33-q12.1

![](_page_39_Picture_48.jpeg)

### *CDH12***, c.1394-11G>A** *DNAH5***, c.8952-49C>G**

![](_page_39_Picture_49.jpeg)

### *DDX4***, c.865-24C>T** *GZMA***, c.\*60G>A**

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_46.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_47.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_48.jpeg)

### *IL7R***, c.538-30C>T** *C5ORF42***, c.8044G>C**

![](_page_40_Picture_49.jpeg)

### *CDC20B***, c.1525G>A** *NUP155***, c.2413A>G**

![](_page_40_Picture_50.jpeg)

## **Appendix II**

## **Primer sequences**

![](_page_41_Picture_252.jpeg)

## **(i) Primers for Sanger validation of variants identified by the whole-exome sequencing analysis of the EJM9 locus.**

![](_page_42_Picture_303.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_278.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_285.jpeg)

## **(ii)Primers for covering the exons in the EJM9 locus missed by the whole exome sequencing experiment.**

![](_page_45_Picture_303.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_303.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_303.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_303.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_251.jpeg)

**(iii) Primers for Sanger validation of variants identified by the whole-exome sequencing analysis of the 5p15.33 q12.1 locus.**

![](_page_49_Picture_252.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_303.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_303.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_213.jpeg)

## **Appendix III**

## **Buffers and reagents**

## **Tris-acetate EDTA (TAE) buffer (50X):**

- Tris base (242g)
- Glacial acetic acid (57.1ml)
- $-$  EDTA (18.61g)
- Distilled water to 1000ml
- Sterilized by autoclaving

## **Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer:**

- 1M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 (1ml; final concentration = 10mM)
- $0.5M$  EDTA (200µl; final concentration = 1mM)
- Distilled water to 100ml
- Sterilized by autoclaving

## **6x DNA loading buffer**

- 0.2% Bromophenol blue
- 0.2% Xylene Cyanol
- 60% Glycerol

### **References**

Alfradique I, Vasconcelos MM (2007) Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr* 65:1266-1271.

Asconapé J, Penry JK (1984) Some clinical and EEG aspects of benign juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 25:108-114.

Berg AT, Berkovic SF, Brodie MJ, Buchhalter J, Cross JH, Boas WE, Engel J, French J, Glauser TA, Mathern GW, Moshe SL, Nordli D, Plouin P, and Scheffer IE (2010) Revised terminology and concepts for organization of seizures and epilepsies: Report of the ILAE Commission on Classification and Terminology, 2005–2009. *Epilepsia* 51:676-685.

Bozzi Y, Casarosa S, and Caleo M (2012) Epilepsy as a neurodevelopmental disorder. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 3:19.

Christoph SI, Harald H, Sergei VS, Rolf S (2013) Desminopathies: pathology and mechanisms. *Acta Neuropathol* 125:47-75.

Clemen CS, Herrmann H, Strelkov SV and Schroder R (2013) Desminopathies: pathology and mechanisms. Acta Neuropathol 125:47–75.

Commission on Classification and Terminology of the International League Against Epilepsy (1981) Proposal for revised clinical and electrographic classification of epileptic seizures. *Epilepsia* 22:489-501.

Commission on Classification and Terminology of the International League Against Epilepsy (1989) Proposal for revised classification of epilepsies and epileptic syndromes. *Epilepsia* 30:389-399.

Cossette P, Liu L and Brisebois K (2002) Mutation of GABRA1 in an autosomal dominant form of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Nat Genet* 31:184-189.

Delgado-Escueta AV, Enrile-Bacsal F (1984) Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy of Janz. *Neurology*  34:285–294.

Delgado-Escueta AV, Koeleman PC, Bailey JN, Medina MT, Durón RM (2013) The quest for Juvenile Myoclonic Epilepsy genes. *Epilepsy Behav* 28:52-57.

Dibbens LM, Feng HJ and Richards MC (2004) GABRD encoding a protein for extra- or perisynaptic GABAA receptors is a susceptibility locus for generalized epilepsies. *Hum Mol Genet* 13:1315–1319.

Eadie MJ (2002) The epileptology of Théodore Herpin (1799-1865). *Epilepsia* 43:1256- 1261.

Elmslie FV, Rees M, Williamson MP, Kerr M, Kjeldsen MJ, Pang KA, Sundqvist A, Friis ML, Chadwick D, Richens A, Covanis A, Santos M, Arzimanoglou A, Panayiotopoulos CP, Curtis D, Whitehouse WP, Gardiner RM (1997) Genetic mapping of a major susceptibility locus for juvenile myoclonic epilepsy on chromosome 15q. *Hum Molec Genet* 6:1329-1334.

Escayg A, De Waard M and Lee DD (2000) Coding and noncoding variation of the human calcium-channel beta4-subunit gene CACNB4 in patients with idiopathic generalized epilepsy and episodic ataxia. *Am J Hum Genet* 66:1531–1539.

Greenberg DA, Delgado-Escueta AV, Widelitz H, Sparkes RS, Treiman L, Maldonado HM, Park MS, Terasaki PI (1988) Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) may be linked to the BF and HLA loci on human chromosome 6. *Am J Med Genet* 31:185-192.

Jallon P, Latour P (2005) Epidemiology of idiopathic generalized epilepsies. *Epilepsia*  46:10-4.

Janz D, Christian W (1957) Impulsive petit mal. *Dtsch Z Nervenheilkd* 176:346-386.

Kapoor A, Ratnapriya R, Kuruttukulam G, Anand A (2007) A novel genetic locus for juvenile myoclonic epilepsy at chromosome 5q12-q14. *Hum Genet* 121:655-662.

Lazarides E, Hubbard BD (1976) Immunological characterization of the subunit of the 100 A filaments from muscle cells. *Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci.* 73:4344-43481.

Li H and Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler Transform. *Bioinformatics* 25:1754-1760.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009) The Sequence alignment/map (SAM) format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25:2078-9.

Magiorkinis E, Sidiropoulou K and Diamantis A (2011) Hallmarks in the History of Epilepsy: From Antiquity Till the Twentieth Century. Novel Aspects on Epilepsy; Prof. Humberto Foyaca-Sibat (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-678-2, InTech, Available from: http://www.intechopen.com/books/novel-aspects-onepilepsy/

Montalenti E, Daniele I, Andrea R, Bruno B, Paolo B (2001) Clinical features, EEG findings and diagnostic pitfalls in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy: a series of 63 patients. *J Neurol Sci* 184:65-70.

Moschetta S, Fiore LA, Fuentes D, Gois J, Valente KD (2011) Personality traits in Patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 21:473-477.

Ratnapriya R, Vijai , Kadandale JS, Iyer RS, Radhakrishnan K and Anand A (2010) A locus for juvenile myoclonic epilepsy maps at 2q33-q36. *Hum Genet* 128:123-130.

Suzuki T, Delgado-Escueta AV, Aguan K, Alonso ME, Shi J, Hara Y, Nishida M, Numata T, Medina MT, Takeuchi T, Morita R, Bai D, Ganesh S, Sugimoto Y, Inazawa J, Bailey JN, Ochoa A, Jara-Prado A, Rasmussen A, Ramos-Peek J, Cordova S, Rubio-Donnadieu F, Inoue Y, Osawa M, Kaneko S, Oguni H, Mori Y and Yamakawa K (2004) Mutations in EFHC1 cause juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Nat Genet* 36:842–849.

Vijay J, Cherian PJ, Sylaja PN, Anand A, Radhakrishnan K (2003) Clinical characteristics of a South Indian cohort of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy probands. *Seizure* 12:490-496.

## **Websites used for bioinformatics analysis**

![](_page_57_Picture_93.jpeg)

## **Softwares used for data analysis**

BWA v-0.6.0

SeqQC v-2.0

SAMtools v-0.1.7a

SeqMan™ II – DNA Star